IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

EMS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
v.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:10-cv-32-DF
	§
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO.,	§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§
Defendants.	§

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

Plaintiff EMS Technologies, Inc. ("EMS") and Defendant General Electric Corp. ("GE") hereby submit their Joint Claim Construction of the Terms, Phrases, and Clauses in the U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979, entitled "Computer-Assisted Sales System for Utilities," pursuant to Local Patent Rule 4-3.

Local Patent Rule 4-3(a) and (b):

The Joint Claim Construction of the Terms, Phrases, and Clauses in the U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 is submitted by the parties, pursuant to P.R. 4-3 (a) and (b). *See* Exhibit A. The parties reserve the right to supplement and/or amend the foregoing disclosures in accordance with the Local Patent Rules.

Local Patent Rule 4-3(c):

The parties estimate the length of time required for the November 3, 2011 Claim Construction Hearing, to be one-half (1/2) day.

Local Patent Rule 4-3(d): Neither EMS nor GE anticipates relying on live testimony at the hearing.

Local Patent Rule 4-3(e): GE believes that a technical tutorial the day before the Claim Construction Hearing would be useful, as contemplated by the Docket Control Order (Dkt. #103 at step (22)). Apart from a technical tutorial, GE does not identify any other issues to address at a prehearing conference before the Hearing.

EMS does not identify any other issues to address at a prehearing conference prior to the Claim Construction Hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David A. Caine

Andrew W. Spangler State Bar No. 24941960 spangler@spanglerlawpc.com Spangler Law PC 208 N. Green St., Suite 300 Longview, TX 75601

Bus: (903) 753-9300 Fax: (903) 553-0403

Co-Counsel:

James C. Otteson
CA Bar No. 157781
(Admitted E.D. Texas)
jim@agilityiplaw.com
David A. Caine
CA Bar No. 218074
(Admitted E.D. Texas)
dacaine@agilityiplaw.com
Thomas T. Carmack
CA Bar No. 229324
(Admitted E.D. Texas)
tom@agilityiplaw.com
Agility IP Law 1900 University Circle, Suite 201

East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Bus: (650) 227-4800

Fax: (650) 318-3483

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF EMS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC

/s/ T. John Ward, Jr._

T. John Ward, Jr.

(State Bar No. 00794818)

Wesley Hill

(State Bar No. 24032294)

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

111 W. Tyler Street

Longview, Texas 75601

Tel.: (903) 757-6400 Fax: (903) 757-2323

Email: jw@wsfirm.com

wh@wsfirm.com

R. Paul Yetter

(State Bar No. 22154200)

Thomas M. Morrow

(State Bar No. 24039076)

Kevin J. Terrazas

(State Bar No. 24060708)

YETTER COLEMAN, LLP

Two Houston Center

909 Fannin, Suite 3600

Houston, TX 77010

Tel.: (713) 632.8070

Fax: (713) 632.8002

Email: pyetter@yettercoleman.com

tmorrow@yettercoleman.com kterrazas@yettercoleman.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
"customer" (1,5,6,24,27,28)		X	The term "customer" requires no construction. The term "customer" should receive its plain and ordinary meaning.	A purchaser of energy from the utility company. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
			The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982).	'979 patent Abstract '979 patent Fig. 4 '979 patent Table 2
			The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989).	'979 patent Appendices A-B, E, G '979 patent col. 1 lines 35-37, 38-41, 47-51, 66-col. 2 line 1
			Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993).	'979 patent col. 2 lines 1, 7-8, 40-46 '979 patent col. 3 lines 6-11, 23-24 '979 patent col. 5 lines 30-40
			EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to rely on to oppose GE's proposed construction. EMS reserves the right to	'979 patent col. 9 lines 36-61 '979 patent col. 16 lines 23-44 '979 patent col. 20 lines 25-27, 30-33
			cite deposition testimony for the purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	'979 patent col. 25 lines 61-67 '979 patent col. 26 lines 25-26, 46- 49 '979 patent col. 27 lines 12-26
				Prosecution history of '979 Patent: Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 3-5 Keith Wietecki deposition
				transcript: 39:13-40:1, 70:10-

Exhibit "A," Joint Claim Construction of U.S. Patent No. 5,769.166

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
				71:4, 76:16-22, 77:12-14 Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 25;8-19, 27:12-20, 32:23-33:19, 38:12-23, 58:5-19, 72:18-73:6, 73:9-74:2 Jerome Johnson deposition transcript: 46:10-19, 227:24- 228:19, 236:3-237:21
"utility rates" (1,24,27)		X	The phrase "utility rates" requires no construction. The phrase "utility rates" should receive its plain and ordinary meaning.	Prices the customer pays the utility per unit of energy. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
			The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982).	'979 patent Abstract '979 patent Fig. 3 '979 patent Appendices A-B, E, G
			The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989).	'979 patent col. 3 lines 53-54 '979 patent col. 4 lines 42-45 '979 patent col. 18 lines 31-33
			Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993).	'979 patent col. 25 lines 61-67 '979 patent col. 26 lines 15-54 '979 patent col. 27 lines 1-40
			EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to	Prosecution history of '979 Patent:
			rely on to oppose GE's proposed construction. EMS reserves the right to	Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 4-5
			cite deposition testimony for the purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	Keith Wietecki deposition transcript: 26:5-7, 37:20-38:2

Exhibit "A," Joint Claim Construction of U.S. Patent No. 5,769.166

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
				Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 29:19-30:4
"utility cost savings programs" (1,24,27)		X	The phrase "utility cost savings programs" requires no construction. The phrase "utility cost savings programs" should receive its plain and ordinary meaning. The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982). The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989). Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993). EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to rely on to oppose GE's proposed construction. EMS reserves the right to cite deposition testimony for the purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	Programs offered by the utility, for assisting with the sale of utility products and services, requiring the customer to install energy efficient equipment in return for a rebate from the utility. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence '979 patent Fig. 3 '979 patent Appendices A-B '979 patent col. 1 lines 42-47 '979 patent col. 2 lines 50-51 '979 patent col. 5 lines 3-20 '979 patent col. 20 lines 39-53, 55-58 '979 patent col. 21 lines 7-9 '979 patent col. 22 lines 4-12, 15-17 '979 patent col. 23 lines 46-48 '979 patent col. 29 lines 14-17 '979 patent col. 31 lines 58-61 Prosecution history of '979 Patent: Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 4-5

Exhibit "A," Joint Claim Construction of U.S. Patent No. 5,769.166

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
				Keith Wietecki deposition transcript: 71:5-76:15, 78:24- 79:4 Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 42:2-48:8
"select," "selecting," or "selected" (1,24, 27)		X	The terms "select," "selecting" and "selected" require no construction. The terms "select," "selecting" and "selected" should receive their plain and ordinary meanings. The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982). The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989). Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993). EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to rely on to oppose GE's proposed construction. EMS reserves the right to cite deposition testimony for the purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	choose for recommendation; choosing for recommendation. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence '979 patent Abstract '979 patent Fig. 4 '979 patent Table 2 '979 patent Appendices A-B '979 patent col. 6 lines 48-57 '979 patent col. 7 lines 11-15 '979 patent col. 9 lines 36-38 '979 patent col. 14 lines 24-29 '979 patent col. 26 lines 25-28 Prosecution history of '979 Patent: Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 4-5 Keith Wietecki deposition transcript: 121:15-122:19 Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 73:22-76:17 Jerome Johnson deposition transcript: 46:10-19, 75:1-8,

Exhibit "A," Joint Claim Construction of U.S. Patent No. 5,769.166

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
				76:14-25, 77:8-13, 227:24- 228:19,
"report" (1,2,24,25,27)		X	The term "report" requires no construction. The term "report" should receive its plain and ordinary meaning. The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982).	Proposal from the utility to a customer. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence '979 patent Abstract
			The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989).	'979 patent Fig. 4 '979 patent Table 2 '979 patent Appendices A-B '979 patent col. 1 line 19-20, 38-41,
			Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993).	48-50, 59-60, 66-67 '979 patent col. 2 lines 40-43, 51-52 '979 patent col. 3 lines 4-24, 57-59
			EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to rely on to oppose GE's proposed construction. EMS reserves the right to cite deposition testimony for the	'979 patent col. 5 lines 41-60 '979 patent col. 9 lines 36-38 '979 patent col. 14 lines 24-27 '979 patent col. 17 lines 21-48
			purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	Prosecution history of '979 Patent: Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 3-5
				Keith Wietecki deposition transcript: 121:24-122:18, 169:6-14
				Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 95:15-20, 99-7-21

Exhibit "A," Joint Claim Construction of U.S. Patent No. 5,769.166

U.S. Patent No. 6,169,979 Claim Terms (Claim Nos.)	Agree	Disagree	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Claim Construction and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence
				Jerome Johnson deposition transcript: 46:10-19, 46:23- 47:1, 75:1-8, 76:14-16, 77:8-13, 129:18-131:18, 136:22-137:6, 227:24-228:19,
"utility" (1,5,6,24,27,28)		X	The term "utility" requires no construction. The term "utility" should receive its plain and ordinary meaning.	A company that generates, sells, and distributes energy to customers.
			The American Heritage Dictionary, 2d College Ed. (1982).	'979 patent Abstract '979 patent col. 1 lines 23-26, 34-37,
			The New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989).	65-67 '979 patent col. 2 lines 7-8, 40-43, 54-57
			Random House Webster's Dictionary (1993).	'979 patent col. 16 lines 23-44
			EMS does not presently know of deposition testimony that it intends to rely on to oppose GE's proposed	Prosecution history of '979 Patent: Amendment in response to Office Action (Oct. 4, 1999) at 4-5
			construction. EMS reserves the right to cite deposition testimony for the	Keith Wietecki deposition transcript: 35:8-11
			purpose of clarifying or providing context for any testimony cited by GE.	Robert Schulte deposition transcript: 14:9-17, 15:9-12 Jerome Johnson deposition transcript: 46:10-19, 236:3-
				237:21

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 1st day of August, 2011, with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile transmission and/or first class mail on this same date.

August 1, 2011.

/s/ David A. Caine
David A. Caine